G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

Letter 8 Sept. 25, 1908

~~(2) Sikkim.~~

Talong Pallekar File no 5. (Sikkim)

Later references:

marriage 8 24 25 - Same file

File No. 142—08

Serial Nos. 1764

17 - 15

SMH 100-27-346.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1764 & 12 to 15½

Part B. for marriage

SUBJECT.

Directing that the pension of 27-1 registered in the name of Mackerel Bank raised equally between himself & his brother Jamphamabank, registered Separately in their names. (Chitaleng Pollu Bank).

1) Venture Jamphamabank.

Sikkim 8 25. 8. 08

Says that the pension of 27-1 was registered in the name of his brother Mackerel Bank would be divided between the two brothers and registered separately in their names.

To the C. S. C. State Hazuri &c

M. 1764 & 1/10/08

Forwards the above for report

GPB .-8,000—7-4-07

From the State Surveyor Gen's Office

13 & 22 10/02.

Intimates that the manner of the petition may be complied with.

To the same Office. No. 20 2070 & 11 12/02

Calls for a detailed report in the matter

From the G. S. G. State Surveyor Gen. No. 13 & 12 12/02

States that Meadow Mill, Jumpe Mill, Green Lick Road, Guinea Royalty are the only Claimants

To the same Office

No. H 3134 & 11 11/02

Calls for additional information in the matter

From the State Surveyor Gen's Office

No. 33 & 15. 1. 03.

Furnishes the additional information called for

To the State Surveyor Gen's Office

& Completion

No. H 4012-3 & 5. 3. 03

Directs that the partition lines between the two orders that their shares be reported Separately on the names

To James Guinea Heirs

No. H 4012 & 5. 3. 03

Returns decision

G.R.S.11] 1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(SJA)

NOTES.

File No. 142

FILE HEADING.

Chital Drug Pallegar Family Pensions.

From

Jampanna Naik

No. Peth Dated 25-8-08 Received 1-9-08

Diary No. 829 | Enclos. 1 | Spare Copies - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that half of the pension of Rs 127-1-9 registered in the name of his brother Madikere Naik may be transfd to his name, as they are divided & live separately.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petitioner is one of the brothers of Madikere Naik of the Chital Drug Family (vide Sl: No 24 of the printed list) A monthly stipend of Rs 84-11-10 was continued to Madikere Naik on the death of his father Timmala Naik in

Pension subsequently raised by 50 p.c. from the drawn of Rs 127-1-9

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

in G.O. No. 164 of the 26th November 1877 for the support of the family consisting of ~~9 heirs viz.~~, 3 sons, 3 daughters, a widow and a Sister-in-law.

The petitioner states that he & his brother Madhava Naik ~~have been~~ are divided & now live separately & prays that the portion of Rs 127-1-9 registered in the name of his brother may be equally divided & half of it may be registered in his name.

He has petitioned the Khazana Bakshi & he got an endorsement to say that there does not at present appear to be any reason for compliance with the prayer.

Submitted for orders.

MM
11-9-08

Please send the petition to the S & A Officer for report.
11.9.08

Draft submitted
MM
11-9-08

Issue
26-9-08

To O.I.C. State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore No 17848/72 10-08. Forwarded the petition for report—

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 142 of 190 8

Serial No. 3

To O. J. C. State Huzur Treasury
No. JC 1764 of 1-10-08

States that there is no objection to grant the request of the petitioner. The may be registered as a Separate Barawardar Draft is submitted for orders.

MM
4-X-08

The pension was sanctioned for the support of the family of Timmal naik and it was register ~~the~~ in the name of his eldest son Madili naik. It is therefore not clear how the pension can be divided Equally betn the two brothers, Madili naik & the petitioner ~~neglecting~~ neglecting the claims of the other members of the family. Either the whole Stipend shd continue to be drawn by one person for the benefit of the whole family or the shares of all who have a claim to it will have to be Separately Specified. Or if such division is considered unnecessary

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S 14 File No. 142 of 1908

Serial No.

only that portion of the family pension which is payable to the petitioner may be separately registered in her name. Please note information on the following points:-

Are these pensions continued from generation to generation without any diminution?

Are all the members including female members entitled to the benefit of the stipend.

When a family pension is continued, is the proportion of stipend to each member of the family left for settlement betn the members of the Benaward-dar or does Govt fix such shares. If Govt does fix shares, on what principles is the distribution to be made -

Mrl
4 118

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/A File No. 142 of 190 8

Serial No.	
V	1) Yes Please See Mr. Dredway Clarke note to the Commissioner of the 12-11-187
Please see Sl. Nos 26, 27 + 28 in the printed list - Slip S	2) Yes All the members of the family
	3) Usually the Barawardar settles it between himself & other members of the family. Probably according the Hindu Law, only so far as male heirs are concerned.
	Submitted for orders.
MM 25-11-08	In the case of Hapalwadi & Dankere Family, the pension granted to the family, was divided by Govt on the representations made by some members of the family: (Please see: Serial nos. 41, 42 + 43 and nos. 64, 65 + 66 in the printed list - Slip: S)
MM 29/11	It is not clear how the State Urgent O. supports the request of the Petitioner. The latter want one half of the family pension which the Barawardar on is his elder brother Bandkere Naik who draws the Stipend for the benefit of the whole family. How he can get one half when there are so

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 142 of 1908

Serial No.

many other members of the family is a material point on which the T.O. should have submitted a detailed report. Any attempt at assessing the shares of the several members of a family is likely to be attended with difficulty and any distribution made can only be arbitrary & may not give satisfaction to the members. It may not also be desirable to split up pensions of this class into and it is best to leave the distribution among the members to be settled between themselves & the head member – the Bonawandar. If the petitioners request is not to be rejected for the above reason a detailed report as to who are all the members who have any claim to this family pension & what should be their individual shares, of the distribution as between them will have to be called for. ~~Pending decision on the point raised by the~~ T.O.

Genl Secy)

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenu
Secretariat.

S M · File No. 142 of 1908

Serial No.

X Please call for a report on these two points before final orders are passed

20/12/08

Draft submitted
MM
14-XII-08

Joint
9-12-8

Draft submitted
9-12-8

MM
5/12

To the Officer in Charge of the State Huzur Treasury Ft-2670-Q-11-12-08.

Requests to be furnished with a detailed report as to who are all the members that have any claim to this pension and what would be the individual share if the distribution is permitted

From the O. I. C. State Huzur Treasury, No 28 of 22-12-08.

States that Madikeri Naik, Jandhamma Naik & their Sister Satyamma Nalathi are the only surviving members of the late Thimmala Naik's family.

Submits for orders. The PO has not stated what shd be the shares of the claimants if the distribution is permitted

MM
28-XII-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SA File No. 142 of 1908

Serial No.

He says that the two brothers & their sister Satyamma are the only surviving members of the families of Jannadevi but he does not say if Satyamma shd or shd not be given any share in the pension & if she shd be given a share, what share shd be given to her. It is as well to have it made quite clear if none of the other brothers & sisters who, it is presumed, are dead have left any issue. If they have left any issue, it is not clear how the two brothers & Satyamma alone can be considered as claimants to the family pension. Please make a further enquiry for such more information on 30.12.8 the above points.

Draft submitted

MM 6-1-09

Issued 7-1-9

To O.I.C. State Huzur Treasury Officer, No. H 3034 of 11th January '09. Requests him to furnish the above information.

(P.T.O.

Serial No. 14

From O.D.C. State Hussar Treasury, No 33 of 15-1-09 furnishes the information called for in 8C 13

The information called for has been fully explained by S.H.J.O. in the letter under disposal

Submitted for orders.

MM
25-1-09

Sathyamma may not have urged her claim to a share of the pension. But when the family pension is to be distributed among the claimants, it is by no means clear how one of them can be ignored because she has not asked for a share. How the J.O. considers that Sathyamma is not entitled to any portion of the pension which he recommends may be equally divided between the two brothers is not understood.

Genl Secy
29-1-9

I do not think a married daughter is entitled to share in the pension of her father, more especially where she gets the benefit of a pension granted to her

Serial No.

husband's family. It is not in conformity with Hindu law, or, if I am not mistaken, with usage.

Can the office point to any definite ruling of Govt. which does recognize the married daughter's claim to participate?

Jump for receipt & reply

There are no instances in which the married daughters ~~had~~ drawn any share in the family pensions (Please see printed list of Pallegar Pensioners).

Kind attention is also invited to the following opinion recorded by the Govt. Advocate in S.M. 257-08 thus:—

× × × × × × ×

Para 3. Apart from these considerations it seems to me that a Political Pension is not, in the strict sense of the term, a property which can, under Hindu Law, form the subject of inheritance, partition or alienation. The continuance of the pension to the heirs of the last holder is dependant on the pleasure of Govt. and none of the descendants can claim any portion thereof as a matter of right. In making a distribution of the pension among such

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 142 of 190 8

Serial No.

heirs or descendants, the Govt are no
bound by the Hindu Law of Inheritance
and partition but simply guided by
their own policy etc"
Submtd

MM
9.2.09
mr 9/2
Genl Secy

mr 9
10.2.9

The pension may be divided
& separately registered in the names of
the two brothers
Dewan)

CBB
12/2/09

1917
12.2.09 Mr Chapekar has done
sent in none of the papers
do I find that both the
brothers & particularly the
registered Pensioners have agreed to have the
pension divided. They have
unequal shares.

Mr Chapekar

Draft
mr
9.2

CBB
9/2

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 142 of 1908

Serial No.

may the drafts already sent up be issued

Done
since
1.39

NLL
24-2-09

To the Accountant General State Assign
" Comptroller
no 7E 4012-3 S.3.09 ~~33 15.1.09~~

Directs that the pension in question be divided between the two brothers ~~in the~~ that their shares be registered separately in their names.

To Jampanna Naik
no 7E 4014 S.3.09

Intimates the above

Read from the
Dewan's Office.
31. 8. 08.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಧೇಯ ಸೇವಕ

ತಾ ಇಂದಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೬ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 1908ನೆ

ಸಹಿ

STATE HUZUR TREASURY
18. AUG. 1908
* BANGALORE. *

No: 3.

Bangalore
18th August 1908

Khazana Bukshi
State Huzur Treasury

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 142

Serial No 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 829

No. JC 1764

& 10 Oct 08

neral and Rev. Secretariat

(SRA)

the 190

To

The O.I.C. State

Huzur Treasury,

Bangalore.

Date of issue 1-10-08

Signed by

Sir

I am directed to ~~Has the honour to~~ forward herewith for report on the ~~the~~ petition No 829 of the 25-8-08 (with its enclosure) received from one Jamphanna Naik of Chitaldrug District & to request you to ~~be so good as to~~ furnish a ~~new~~ early report on the ~~requests~~ made therein by the petitioner & the names of persons depending on the pension of Angoikere Naik.

I have &c

~~Secretary~~

for Secretary

to Govt

One of the petitioners enclosure requested

SUBJECT. MM

19/9/08

D 1381

No: 13

Enclosure 2.

Mysore State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore dated 22 October 1908.

S&A. 142-08
87-no 3

From,

K. Krishna Iyer Esq
Khazane Bukshi
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir

In reply to your letter No: Jl 1764 S&A 142-08-2 dated 1 October 1908, I have the honor to state that Pallegar B. Jambanna Naik referred to in the above, in his petition to me, dated 6 March 1908, complained against the irregular payments of the stipend due to him by his brother Madakere Naik, who is the Baramandar, and prayed that he should be made an independent Baramandar. Upon this, I suggested their appointing an Agent whose duty it would be to draw the whole amount of the stipend from the Treasury and to remit to them, their own share separately.

This, they agreed to and appointed one A. Venkata Row, as their Agent, and

since then, though no Complaints has been made of any irregular payment. still the petitioner Jane Anna Reith wanted a separate baranard in her own name. This request I had to refuse, as it would only tend to swell the list of Pallegar pensioners in this Office while serving no useful purpose.

The appointment of an Agent, I thought, would put an end to their quarrels, but as there still appears to be a certain amount of ill-feeling and suspecion between the brothers, and as they are living separately and have issues of their own, and as there are no serious objections to the request of the Petitioner being Complied with, he may be registered as a separate Baranandar

The enclosures to your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be.

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

S. Thangavelu Pulicoln

Head Assistant Treasurer

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 08

Serial No 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1381

No. JE 2670

8/11-12-08

General and Rev. Secretariat

(S & A)

the 190

To

The Officer in Charge,
State Treasury,
Bandalore.

e of issue 11 Dec 1908

ned by Mr. Krishna Iyer,

Paper under disposal

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 13 of the 22nd Oct. 1908 ~~forwarding your report on the petition~~ regarding the ~~of~~ Jaishanna Naik of the Chitaldrug Pallepar family, I am directed to request you to ~~forward~~ submit at an early date a detailed report as to who are all the members who have any claim to this family pension and what should be their individual shares, if the distribution is permitted.

I have etc over.

SUBJECT.

To have his family stipend replaced in favour of his name

for Secretary to Govt

9/12/08

10/12

11-XII-08

D 2182

Office of the State Huzur Treasu
Bangalore. 22d. December 1908

From,

K. Krishna Iyer Esquire, SDA 142-0
Khazana Bakshi, 81 no.
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To,

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq
B.A, B.L,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of M
General and Revenue Depart
Bangalore

Adverting to your letter No. Jl 267
SDA 142-08
dated 11th. December 1908, I have
the honor to state that no record
relating to this branch of the Chita
-drug Pollegar family, being forth
-coming in this office, I called up
both the brothers Madikere Naik a
Jampal Naik to present themselv
before me. They accordingly came bod
and from enquiries made of them
I learn that Timmal Naik, their
father, left behind him when he

died

dec'd,

His widow. — Ranganna Naga

3 Sons, —
- (1) Madikere Naik
- (2) Jaupal Naik
- (3) Kristappa Naik who had been given in adoption ~~to~~ to Gudikote Pollegar Dampapilli Raja,

3 Daughters, —
- (1) Venkatamma Nagati
- (2) Satyamma Nagati
- (3) Bheemamma Nagati,

Sister in-Law (His brother's wife) — Thimmama Nagati,

Daughter in Law, wife of his eldest Son Madikere Naik — Venkamma Nagati,

But at the present time, the only surviving members are themselves and their Sister Satyamma Nagati, the widow of Jarekere Pollegar Hanumappa Naik.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Khazana Bukshi,
Acting State Huzur Treasury.

— 2 —

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 142/08

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to 9187

No. R30354

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

the 190

To

The Officer-in-Charge,
State Engineering Branch,
Bandarawela.

Date of issue 11 January/09

Signed by W. [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 28 of the 22nd December 08 requesting that Jampal naik and [illegible] the two brothers & their Sister Sathyamma Nayathi are the only surviving members of the late Thimmala naik's family, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points:-

1) Whether Sathyamma Nayat

over.

SUBJECT.

is entitled for a share in the pension & to what share should be given to her

2) whether none of the other brothers and sisters who are presumed to have died are dead have left any issue & if so how the two brothers & Sathyamma Nafathi alone can be considered as claimants to the family pension.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Govt

Dy

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—20-4-05.

02390

State Mazur Treasury
Bangalore 15th January 1909

S&A. 142-08.
L. No. 16

From

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq. B.A. B.L.
Khazana Bakshi &
State Mazur Treasury
Bangalore

To

L.L. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq. B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
General and Revenue Depth

Sir

With reference to your letter No: H 3034 S&A 142-08-1 dated 11 January 1909 asking me to furnish information on certain points regarding the Claim of Jampal Naik to be registered as separate B[illegible] in re[illegible] order, I have the honor to state that [illegible] Naik and Jampal Naik are t[illegible] by two surviving Claimants of the [illegible] the late Thimala Naik's family

Lalayana Nagathi, the surviving daughter, is a widow of the late Hanumappa Naik of Sankere, and is reported to be at present in the protection of her step-son Pattabhi Ramappa Naik who is in receipt of the pension of Rs: 126-9-0.

It to date she herself has preferred

no claims for any portion of the pension of the late Thimmala Raikis family. It seems therefore clear that Satyama Nagatha is not entitled to any portion of the pension of her father's family.

The other two daughters of the late Thimmala Raik who predeceased him are reported to have left no issues. But in any case they and the third son Krishnaji Raik, who was adopted to the family of Bodikota Pallegar Pampathi Raju could equally have no claim in this family pension.

In these circumstances I am of opinion that the family pension should be distributed among the two brothers Bradaker Raik and Jampal Raik in equal proportions as noted in the margin.

1 Bradaker Raik Rs: 63-8-11.
2 Jampal Raik Rs: 63-8-10
Rs: 127-1-9

Where the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Khazana Bullokh
Teale Mazur Treasy

G.R.S. 28] V

File No. 142

Serial No. 60 (15)

Diary No. of letter replied to 1387

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S/A)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 5th March 09

Signed by [illegible]

-READ—

Petition registered as H 829 of the 25th August 1908 from Jamshamia Naik of the Chitaldrug Palkefar Family, praying that the pendim of Rs 127-1-9 p.m.

~~ORDER THEREON.—~~ No.

sanctioned in Order conveyed in docket No 164 of the 26th November 1877, regd. in the name of his brother Madikere Naik may be equally divided ~~by the latter himself~~ between the ~~half of the~~ shares registered in ~~his~~ their names.

2) over

SUBJECT

Correspondence ending with
2 Letter No 33 ~~1764~~ of the
15th January 1909
~~1st October of~~ from the
O.D.G. State Huzur Treasury
reporting on the petition.

Order thereon: No 3C 4012-3
5&H 143-08-4 dy 5 March/09

Madikere Naik is the
is in
Barawardar and ~~the~~ receipt
of ~~the~~ Pallegar pension of Rs 127-14 p.m.
Sanctioned to the family of the late
Sanctioned
Thimala Naik in G.O. No
164 of the 26th November 1877. The
petitioner Jamgamma Naik who
is the only brother of the said Barawardar
~~Madikere Naik~~ requests that
the ~~said~~ family pension may
be divided equally between
himself & his brother & one-half
of the pension ~~is~~ registered in his
own name

~~Officer in charge of the~~
The ~~O.D.G.~~ State Huzur Treasury States that the two
~~latter~~ are on good terms
~~brothers lie between whom that is~~
~~Same if ill feeling are~~ for

that there are no
claimants to the
pension other than
the two brothers

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Some time past living Separate and accounts
and have issues of their own. #
recommends that the request
of the petitioner may be Complied
with.

In the foregoing circumstances
the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja
are pleased to direct that
the pension of Rs 127-1-9 which
now stands in the name of
Madikere Naik be divided
equally between him & the two
petitioners their shares as
registered in the Barnard
accordingly be represented Separately
in their Names: The

Madikere Naik 63-8-11
Jampal Naik 63-8-10

Revised 3-9

Revised to Govt.

9-XI-08 Do,

The O.I.G. State Insp. Treasuries
" Comptroller to Govt.

2 dft

G.R.S. 34]

No. Jc 4014 / S&A 1143-08

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(S&A.)

Endorsement.
~~Office Memorandum.~~

Jaufranna Naik, the 5 March 1909
Chitaldrug Pallegar Family,
Davikere. ~~Bangalore~~

In reply to his petition no Jc. 829 of the 25th August 1908 praying that the remission of half the peishcush of Rs 127-1-9 p.m. sanctioned in the name of his brother Madi-Kere Naik may ~~be equally divided and half~~ ~~gift may~~ be registered in his name, the petitioner is informed that the Govt. in their Proceedings no Jc 4013-4 / S&A 1143-08 dated the 5th March 09 have sanctioned his prayer.

By order
Mch 5. 09
for Secretary to Govt.

MGCPB—5,000—4-3-05

A 43